

1075274250 D T

**INVESTOR IN PEOPLE**

**The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ**

REC'D 18 NOV 2003

WIPO	PCT
------	-----

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

**CERTIFIED COPY OF
PRIORITY DOCUMENT**

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Signed

McQuinn

Dated 10 November 2003

**PRIORITY
DOCUMENT**

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

An Executive Agency of the Department of Trade and Industry

Patent Form 1/77

Patents 1977
(Rule 16)



177
13SEP02 E747872-1 D02000

P01/7700 0.00-0221160.5
The Patent Office

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference

PDG/24900

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0221160.5

12 SEP 2002

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

Snell & Wilcox Limited
6 Old Lodge Place
St Margaret's
Twickenham
Middlesex TW1 1RQ United Kingdom
United Kingdom

8579784003

4. Title of the invention

Improved Video Motion Processing

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

MATHYS & SQUIRE
100 Gray's Inn Road
London WC1X 8AL
United Kingdom

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

1081001

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or their application number

Country

Priority application number
(if you know it)

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

8. Declaration of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

- a) the applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
 - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
 - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

YES

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.
Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 7

Claim(s) 2

Abstract

Drawing(s) 2 *fl*

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*)

Request for preliminary examination and search (*Patents Form 9/77*) 1


Request for substantive examination (*Patents Form 10/77*)

Any other documents
(please specify)

11.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application

Signature



Date

MATHYS & SQUIRE

12 September 200

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

GARRATT, Peter Douglas - 020 7830 0000

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 08459 500505.
- b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- c) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- d) If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- f) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

IMPROVED VIDEO MOTION PROCESSING

This invention is directed to picture building in motion compensated video processing.

Many contemporary standards conversion and other video processing systems employ motion compensation in order to improve the quality of the output pictures. In such systems, it is a typical requirement for new output pictures to be interpolated from original input pictures. Motion compensation assigns motion vectors to the pixels of the input pictures, and these vectors are used to project the original pixels to "build" the output picture.

It is an object of the present invention to provide techniques for improving the quality of the output pictures of such systems.

Accordingly, the invention consists in one aspect in a method of motion compensated combination of two pictures of an input picture sequence to form an output picture at a temporal location between the two input pictures, comprising: projecting input pixels from the input pictures to locations on the output picture using motion vectors assigned to those input pixels; counting the number of vectors from each input picture which point to a given pixel location on the output picture; and employing this count in controlling the mix of the pixels projected by those vectors used to produce the output pixel at the given pixel location.

The inventors have thus recognized that counting the number of vector "hits" at a particular output pixel location gives important information relating to the quality of the eventual output of the motion compensation process. Using this count to control the process therefore results in significant advances in quality.

Preferably, the method comprises employing a non-linear function of the count in controlling said mix.

In one form of the invention, the method comprises, where a plurality of vectors from one of the input pictures point to the given pixel location, assigning lower weight to the respective pixels of those vectors from that input picture for construction of the pixel at the given location. In another form, the method uses

an average of the respective pixels of those vectors as the contribution to the output pixel from that input picture.

In still another form, the method comprises, where a plurality of vectors point to the given pixel location, taking a median of the vectors, and using the vector closest to the median for construction of the output pixel.

In another aspect, the invention provides a method of motion compensated combination of two pictures of an input picture sequence to form an output picture at a temporal location between the two input pictures, comprising: projecting input pixels from the input pictures to locations on the output picture using motion vectors assigned to those input pixels; and mixing the respective pixels projected by the vectors onto the output picture to produce an output pixel at a given location, wherein, where a plurality of vectors from one of the input pictures project onto said given pixel location, giving increased weighting in controlling the mix to the respective pixels of vectors forming substantially conjugate pairs.

The invention will now be described by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figures 1 to 3 are diagrams illustrating the function of picture building in a typical motion compensated system; and

Figure 4 is a diagram illustrating apparatus according to an embodiment of the invention.

In motion compensated standards conversion, the process of picture building is typically important, the accuracy of the process greatly affecting the quality of the output images or pictures. The input pictures are typically in the form of video fields or frames, though of course, any type of input picture sequence may be employed in the embodiments described. Motion compensated picture building techniques are known to the art, and therefore the basic

principles will not be discussed in detail here, though some description of the problems commonly arising follows.

In a picture building procedure, as illustrated in Figure 1, two input pictures, in this case, two video frames (100 and 102) are used to create an output frame, indicated by dashed line 104. This output frame is to be created at a temporal position between the two input frames, though not necessarily equidistant from them.

In order to derive information illustrating the motion occurring between input images of an image sequence, a motion measurement process (of which the phase correlation technique is preferred) is performed on the input images. The resulting motion vectors are assigned to pixels or groups of pixels in the input image.

In the case illustrated in Figure 1, vectors 106 and 108 have been assigned to objects in the two input frames; vector 106 points forward (temporally) towards the output frame position, from a pixel (105) on the first input frame (100), and vector 108 points backward from a pixel (107) in the second frame. The vectors are used to project the pixels (105, 107) from the input frames onto the pixel (110) of the output frame which is currently being constructed. A decision is then taken as to which of the pixels to use, or what proportion of each pixel to use in a mix of the two.

The above example, however, is merely a simple case where a single vector from each frame may be mapped to the required point. In other cases, there may not be a single vector, or there may be multiple vectors pointing to the output pixel position.

Figure 2 illustrates one of these cases. Here, a vector (203) projects a pixel (202) from the following frame to the output pixel position (204), but there are two vectors, 201a and 201b, pointing from different pixels (200a, 200b) on the same, previous frame (100), to the same output pixel position (204). This may indicate, for example, that one object is moving over another in the current video sequence. It can be seen that similar situations will arise with multiple

vector "hits" from either side of the output position, and with any number of hits (greater than one).

Figure 3 illustrates a different situation. Here, a vector (301) projects a pixel (300) from the previous frame to the output pixel position (304), but there is
5 no vector from the following frame.

In other cases there may not be a vector pointing to the output point from either side, in which case there is simply a hole in the output frame.

A prior method of picture building, as disclosed in EP 0,648,398, handles such situations in the following manner. If there is a single vector "hit" from one
10 frame at the output pixel, the resulting projection of the pixel from that frame is assigned a weighting value of 1. If there is a double hit, each vector is given a weighting of 1, giving an overall weighting for that frame or "side" (of the output position) of 2. Greater numbers of hits increase the total weighting thus. However, if there is no vector hit, the "confidence" in that frame is taken as zero;
15 this therefore prevents the eventual mix of the output pixel taking any information from that frame or side which gave a zero hit result.

The inventors have recognized that a more sophisticated treatment of picture building which measures where multiple and zero hits occur can bring significant benefit over this prior technique in the quality of the output pictures.

20 In embodiments, the invention provides a system which identifies the occurrence of such "non-single hits" in the picture building process. The techniques described in the following apply the resulting counts to new methods of picture building which give the previously unexpected result of greatly increasing output picture quality.

25 In one embodiment, if there is any number of hits, from either of the input frames, which is not equal to one, the input from that frame is simply ignored. Thus in the case illustrated in Figure 2, the input of both of the pixels 200a and 200b, projected by vectors 201a and 201b, would be ignored. The only information taken for the output pixel 204 would therefore be that provided by the
30 following frame (102), from pixel 202 and vector 203. In the case illustrated in Figure 3, the number of hits from the following frame is zero (which is not equal

to 1), so that frame is ignored, and pixel 300 and vector 301 are used for the output pixel (304).

This method may also be implemented in a "softer" version. For example, where a multiple hit occurs, the system may nevertheless include some proportion, say 10%, of the offending vectors' source pixels in constructing the output pixel. This would be of particular use in cases where there are no hits on one side, and multiple hits on the other; at least some of the pixels from those vectors which would otherwise be ignored may be used for the output pixel.

In most cases, the system will employ some sort of "fallback" mode, in order to prevent failure, or allow a "hole" to appear in the output frame where there are no hits from either side.

Figure 4 illustrates a detailed version of this embodiment. The previous frame is forward projected, and the following frame back projected to an intermediate temporal location, and the projections are mixed. This mixing is controlled in dependence upon measurements of the number of hits arising on either side. Separate "predictions of quality", dependent upon hit count, are derived for the previous and following frames, and these are compared to control the projection mix. For example, if a single hit is registered for a given pixel, the PoQ is high, whereas if a zero or multiple hit are registered, the PoQ is low. Typically, the PoQ will have a step or delta type function where a multiple hit is detected, so variation of the PoQ is smoothed over areas having multiple hits, in order not to introduce sharp edging effects.

A separate fallback frame is also compiled, and a mix between this frame and the output of the mixed projected frames is controlled by a prediction of quality of the projection mix.

In an alternative embodiment, the median of all vectors pointing to a given pixel on the output frame is taken. A number of options are then available: the closest vector to the median is taken, and the other vectors rejected; in a case where there is simply a double hit on one side, the offending vector is rejected as an outlier, as the other two vectors are closer to the median; fractions of the various vectors are taken, according to their proximity to the median. These

approaches may be effective in cases where a plurality of spurious vectors produce the multiple hits.

In a further embodiment, the confidence assigned to the vector hits on one "side" of the output frame position is normalised. Thus if there is a double hit on one side, the contribution to the mix may be $\frac{1}{4}$ of each pixel in the double hit, and $\frac{1}{2}$ of the pixel on the other side.

In a still further embodiment, where there are multiple hits, the vector on the "multiple hit side" are compared with those on the other side. If one vector is the conjugate (or near conjugate) of one of the vectors on the other side, as in Figure 2, vectors 201b and 203, then the other vector, 201a, is discarded. Essentially, the only vectors taken for the decision on mixing the output pixel are such conjugate pairs, as these match the flow of the vector field along the current sequence.

In the embodiments described above, hit counts are generally described as integer values. In alternatives, if a phase correlation process is implemented to sub-pixel accuracy, then a more sophisticated approach is possible. The hit count becomes an accumulation over an area of non-integer hit values, rather than a simple count of vectors pointing to an integer value. Such "soft" hit counts may be processed as in any of the preceding methods in order to provide an output pixel.

In general, certain fallback options are required where zero hits or spurious vectors occur. For example, if vectors on either side produce an inequality or disagreement, the system may take the vector from the closest frame to the output temporal position. Where the hit count is zero on both sides, "holes" occur in the output frame. In such cases, "hole filling" or copying of pixels from either frame may be implemented. In other cases, the system may use the fallback picture, as in Figure 4.

In the above description of certain embodiments of the invention, the example of the projection of two input pictures onto an output picture location is used. It should be noted that aspects of the invention are equally applicable to techniques in which more than two input pictures, and their respective pixels and

assigned vectors, are used to create the output picture. Here, notwithstanding the methods described for weighting pixels in particular ways, the proportions of pixels used in the final mix may depend to a greater extent upon the distance of the input picture in question from the temporal location of the output picture.

5 It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the invention has been described by way of example only, and that a wide variety of alternative approaches may be adopted. In particular, the various methods described may be used in conjunction, in a variety of advantageous combinations.

CLAIMS

1. A method of motion compensated combination of a plurality of pictures of an input picture sequence to form an output picture at a temporal location between two of the input pictures, comprising: projecting input pixels from the input pictures to locations on the output picture using motion vectors assigned to those input pixels; counting the number of vectors from each input picture which point to a given pixel location on the output picture; and employing this count in controlling the mix of the pixels projected by those vectors used to produce the output pixel at the given pixel location.

2. A method according to Claim 1, comprising employing a non-linear function of the count in controlling said mix.

3. A method according to Claim 1 or Claim 2, comprising, where a plurality of vectors from one of the input pictures point to the given pixel location, assigning lower weight to the respective pixels of those vectors from that input picture for construction of the pixel at the given location.




4. A method according to any of the Claims 1 to 3, comprising, where a plurality of vectors point to the given pixel location, taking a median of the vectors, and using the vector closest to the median for construction of the output pixel.

5. A method according to any of the above claims, comprising, where a plurality of vectors from one of the input pictures point to the given pixel location, using an average of the respective pixels of those vectors as the contribution to the output pixel from that input picture.

6. A method of motion compensated combination of a plurality of pictures of an input picture sequence to form an output picture at a temporal location between two of the input pictures, comprising: projecting
5 input pixels from the input pictures to locations on the output picture using motion vectors assigned to those input pixels; and mixing the respective pixels projected by the vectors onto the output picture to produce an output pixel at a given location, wherein, where a plurality of vectors from one of the input pictures project onto said given pixel location, giving
10 increased weighting in controlling the mix to the respective pixels of vectors forming substantially conjugate pairs.

15

20






Fig 4

PCT Application

GB0303961



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.